Longfellow Elementary School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

	(Mose Resent Fear)
School Contact Info	ormation
School Name	Longfellow Elementary
Street	3610 Eucalyptus Ave.
City, State, Zip	Riverside, CA 92507
Phone Number	951-788-7335
Principal	Geri Castro
E-mail Address	gcastro@rusd.k12.ca.us
Web Site	http://www.rusdlink.org/Domain/26
CDS Code	33-67215-6032692

District Contact Info	District Contact Information				
District Name	Riverside Unified				
Phone Number	(951) 788-7135				
Superintendent	David C. Hansen, Ed.D.				
E-mail Address	dchansen@rusd.k12.ca.us				
Web Site	www.rusd.k12.ca.us				

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

School Description:

Longfellow Elementary is located in the Eastside Community of Riverside. Longfellow serves approximately 900 students pre-school through sixth grade.

Mission Statement:

The mission of Longfellow Elementary is to educate and empower our scholars and community to reach their highest potential in order to compete globally.

School Motto:

Educate, Engage, and Empower Success! (The 3E-S)

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	134
Grade 1	111
Grade 2	102
Grade 3	120
Grade 4	111
Grade 5	121
Grade 6	91
Total Enrollment	790

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment		
Black or African American	1.3		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1		
Asian	0.4		
Hispanic or Latino	94.4		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.1		
White	2.2		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	97.7		
English Learners	54.6		
Students with Disabilities	10.9		
Foster Youth	1.5		

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

		District		
Teachers	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	34	34	38	1855
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Landing of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.0	0.0				
All Schools in District	93.6	6.4				
High-Poverty Schools in District	93.3	6.7				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	95.0	5.0				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Year and month in which data were collected: 10/2015

Materials Sufficiency Board Meeting Date: October 5, 2015

The table displays information collected in October 5, 2015 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school. It was determined that each RUSD school had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment, where appropriate, pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a 6-year cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption.

Riverside Unified School District adopts instructional materials on a regular schedule based on State adoptions. All students receive appropriate, up-to-date instructional materials for use in the classroom and at home. All materials currently in use have been

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

selected by the staff with parent input, and approved by the Board of Education according to state adoption requirements. Teachers are provided training in the use of new materials.

Comprehensive curriculum (ELA, Math, Science, History-Social Science) Pearson: Opening the World of Learning (OWL) adopted in 2015.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy	
Reading/Language Arts	Macmillan McGraw-Hill: California Treasures, K-2-2013 Houghton Mifflin Reading: A Legacy of Literacy, 3-6 (Adopted in 2002) Scholastic - Read 180/System 44 (Adopted in 2010)	Yes	0%	
Mathematics	Pearson Education: enVision Math California Common Core 2015, K-6	Yes	0%	
Science	McGraw Hill: California Science, K-6 (adopted in 2007)	Yes	0%	
History-Social Science	Harcourt: Reflections, K-6 (Adopted in 2006)	Yes	0%	
Visual and Performing Arts	Elementary Music Program and Standards-based Arts Lessons	Yes	0%	

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Year Constructed: 1890 Last modernized: 2005 Lot Size: 5.7 Acres

26 Permanent Classrooms 12 Portable Classrooms

Library

Multi-Purpose Room

Indoor and Outdoor Cafeteria Completely Air Conditioned

"Riverside Unified School District maintains both 5 and 15 year major maintenance plans for all schools. These plans are located at the District's Maintenance and Operations Office and are available for review."

Riverside Unified School District has instituted a formal school facility inspection system based on State of California School Facility Condition Criteria. The State criteria consist of 13 building components typically found in school facilities. Longfellow Elementary School completed their school site inspection on 10/12/15.

Longfellow has a full time custodial staff who along with other district personnel maintain the grounds and facilities.

Riverside Unified School District has allocated funds for the sole purpose of school maintenance pursuant to Education Code sections 17002(d), 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75(a), and 17089(b)

of Work Orders = 626 Labor Hours = 1,634.42 Assessed Value of Work = \$73,418.20 **School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 10/12/15						
Custom Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good	Good Fair Poor		Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х					
Electrical: Electrical	Х					
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains		х				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х					

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month in which data were collected: 10/12/15								
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor				
Overall Rating		Х						

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)				
	School	District	State		
English Language Arts/Literacy	24	43	44		
Mathematics	23	33	33		

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Disaggregated by Student Groups, C		Number o				cent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	119	117	98.3	38	38	16	8
	4	119	118	99.2	66	19	11	4
	5	123	120	97.6	54	21	22	3
	6	99	98	99.0	41	28	26	6
Male	3		62	52.1	47	44	3	6
	4		52	43.7	75	13	4	8
	5		55	44.7	67	13	20	0
	6		52	52.5	50	31	13	6
Female	3		55	46.2	27	33	31	9
	4		66	55.5	59	23	17	2
	5		65	52.8	43	28	23	6
	6		46	46.5	30	24	39	7
Black or African American	3		0	0.0				
	4		1	0.8				
	5		1	0.8				
	6		2	2.0				
Asian	3		1	0.8				
	5		1	0.8				
Hispanic or Latino	3		109	91.6	38	39	16	8
	4		113	95.0	65	19	12	4
	5		115	93.5	54	21	22	3
	6		94	94.9	40	28	26	6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	5		1	0.8				
White	3		5	4.2				
	4		1	0.8				
	5		2	1.6				
	6		1	1.0				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		113	95.0	37	39	16	8
	4		118	99.2	66	19	11	4
	5		116	94.3	54	22	21	3
	6		94	94.9	40	28	26	6
Students with Disabilities	3		11	9.2	100	0	0	0
	4		12	10.1	100	0	0	0
	5		21	17.1	100	0	0	0
	6		15	15.2	100	0	0	0

		Number o	Number of Students Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Foster Youth	3							
	4							
	5							
	6							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

		Number o	f Students		Pei	Percent of Students			
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
All Students	3	119	118	99.2	36	31	28	5	
	4	119	118	99.2	40	38	20	2	
	5	123	123	100.0	59	29	6	6	
	6	99	98	99.0	48	24	20	7	
Male	3		62	52.1	37	35	23	5	
	4		52	43.7	35	35	29	2	
	5		56	45.5	59	27	4	11	
	6		52	52.5	50	25	19	6	
Female	3		56	47.1	34	27	34	5	
	4		66	55.5	44	41	14	2	
	5		67	54.5	60	31	7	1	
	6		46	46.5	46	24	22	9	
Black or African American	3		0	0.0					
	4		1	0.8					
	5		1	0.8					
	6		2	2.0					
Asian	3		1	0.8					
	5		1	0.8					
Hispanic or Latino	3		110	92.4	35	31	28	5	
	4		113	95.0	38	39	21	2	
	5		118	95.9	59	31	5	5	
	6		94	94.9	48	24	20	7	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	5		1	0.8					
White	3		5	4.2					
	4		1	0.8					

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
	5		2	1.6					
	6		1	1.0					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		114	95.8	35	32	28	5	
	4		118	99.2	40	38	20	2	
	5		119	96.7	61	29	5	6	
	6		94	94.9	48	24	20	7	
Students with Disabilities	3		11	9.2	91	9	0	0	
	4		12	10.1	100	0	0	0	
	5		22	17.9	95	5	0	0	
	6		15	15.2	100	0	0	0	
Foster Youth	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

		Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)							
Subject	School District State				State				
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-13 2013-14 2014-					2014-15
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	21	45	30	56	60	58	59	60	56

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	58
All Students at the School	30
Male	37
Female	26
Asian	
Hispanic or Latino	30
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	13
Students with Disabilities	31
Foster Youth	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Grade	Percei	nt of Students Meeting Fitness Star	ndards
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	21.50	14.00	11.60

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

Contact Person Name: Anna Lopez Contact Person Phone Number: 951-788-7335

Parents are encouraged to attend all parent meetings, parent workshops and volunteer in their child's classroom. All parents are encouraged to take an active role in their child's education through homework and ongoing communication with the school. Parents are encouraged to participate in a wide variety of parent trainings that are offered every day of the week. Some of the classes that are available are: ESL, Computers, Nutrition Workshops, Workshops on Parenting Skills, Homework Help and other information that will help support parents become active participants in their child's education in order to have higher expectations for their children which will result in increased student achievement.

Other Available parent involvement opportunities include:

School Site Council
English Learner Advisory Committee
Back To School Night
Open House (including local community organizations' informational booths)
Parent Volunteers in the classroom
Volunteers for special events
PTA
Coffee With The Principal

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School				District		State			
Rate	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Suspensions	1.78	2.67	1.66	4.82	4.50	4.37	5.07	4.36	3.80	
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.18	0.22	0.13	0.10	0.09	

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

The School Site Council or its delegate is responsible for the development and updating of the school's Comprehensive Safety Plan. A Site Comprehensive Safety Plan Checklist is provided by the Assistant Superintendent of Operations to give guidance on what should be included in the School's Safety Plan. The Safety Plan is discussed with staff, evaluated, amended/reviewed/updated by March 1 of each year. The school's safety committee makes monthly safety inspections. A school Disaster Preparedness Plan that deals with a wide variety of emergency situations is incorporated into the School Safety Plan. Earthquakes, fire, and lockdown drills are conducted as required. The key elements of the Comprehensive School Safety Plan include an assessment of current status of school crime; provisions of any schoolwide dress code including the definition of "gang related apparel"; safe movement of pupils, parents and school employees to and from school; strategies in maintaining a safe and orderly school environment; child abuse reporting procedures; disaster procedures, routine and emergency; policies related to suspensions, expulsion or mandatory expulsion and other school designated serious acts which would lead to suspension or expulsion, notification to teachers, anti-bullying policy and school discipline rules and procedures pursuant to EC 35291 and EC 35291.5. The school also has an assigned School Resource Officer (SRO).

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2004-2005	2007-2008
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	22
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	73.3

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

		201	2-13		2013-14				2014-15			
Grade	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	1 1 20 21 22 22	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+			
К	26		5		23		5		27		5	
1	31		4		27		3		28		4	
2	31		4		28		5		26		4	
3	32		4		22	1	4		28		4	
4	28	1	2	1	28	1	4		26	1	3	
5	31		4		32		2	1	26	1	4	
6	29	1		3	21	2	4		25	1	3	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.8	N/A
Psychologist	0.4	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.25	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A
Resource Specialist	1	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	6,012	1,307	4,704	81,997
District	N/A	N/A	\$4,709	\$79,035
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-0.1	3.7
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$72,971
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-12.0	12.4

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Longfellow Elementary School received the following Categorical Program/Supplemental funds which can be used to provide the following services:

\$179,460 Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF): for increased or improved services for Low Income Students, English Learners, or Foster Youth for site goals in alignment with the RUSD Local Control Accountability Plan

\$227,928 Title I: supplemental services and materials to assist students at risk of not meeting state academic standards

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$49,695	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,295	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$95,855	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$119,572	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$126,482	\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$137,354	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$239,574	\$234,382
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Longfellow is committed to ensuring the active participation of all staff members in professional development. Throughout the 2013-2014 school year we transitioned from California State Standards to the Common Core State Standards. During this transition year we remained focused on increasing the literacy skills of our students and continued professional development in strategies to increase our student achievement.

Longfellow utilized a consultant from the Riverside County Office of Education to unpack the Common Core State Standards. Throughout this process, teachers were given the opportunity to develop a deeper understanding of the CCSS in English Language Arts and Mathematics. They created lessons derived from these trainings and collaborated on the results.

During 2013-2014 school year, our staff received training on Close Reading as a strategy, Depth of Knowledge, technology programs and tools to be used to enhance the educational experience of our students. Ongoing development in Orton-Gillingham Multi-Sensory instruction as well as AVID Elementary training were made available to staff members. Teachers also had access to a variety of professional development sessions that were offered through videos that are on the district based Haiku site.

Longfellow staff participated in professional development during staff meetings, at district level inservices, and during team meetings. Not only do teachers utilize the expertise provided by our consultant and district instructional services staff, but they are also given the opportunity to work with administration and peers trained to assist in strengthening instructional practices.

Longfellow teachers participate in Standards based planning. They utilize data derived from assessments in mathematics and language arts to plan instruction and collaborate with site administration to plan and refine professional development.

During 2014-2015 school year, our staff continued their professional development with the Common Core State Standards as they relate to our English Language Arts and Math instruction. The staff was also provided opportunities to participate in professional development in the areas of technology, intervention, science, and AVID Elementary. The professional development opportunities were offered by our district's staff developers, site personnel, and via conferences.

For the current school year (2015-2016), we are continuing our professional development with district staff developers. We are strengthening our understanding of the ELD Standards and Framework, going deeper with ELA and Math development, and continuing to strengthen our intervention program as well as our use of AVID strategies (K-6th). We are also receiving integrated professional development utilizing technology to increase engagement within the classroom. Out site teacher leaders are receiving professional development on student centered learning through DOK 3 from Innovate Ed.